



AADHA AKASH <the.great.conjunction.2020.12.21@gmail.com>

THE MOTHER: Behold THY MOTHER: "THY MOTHER is the Father!"; "THY MOTHER is the Son!";

1 message

Jagbir Singh <s.jagbir@gmail.com>

Mon, May 31, 2021 at 2:26 PM

To: AADHA AKASH <the.great.conjunction.2020.12.21@gmail.com>

Behold THY MOTHER: "THY MOTHER is the Father!"; "THY MOTHER is the Son!"; "THY MOTHER is the Comforter!"; "THY MOTHER is HALF THE SKY!"; "THY MOTHER is thy mother!"; "THY MOTHER is You!" The rest are petty details of the Christian wink of THE MOTHER'S creative creation. (May 31, 2021)

May 31, 2021

I keep receiving emails from a Christian belonging to a fundamentalist denomination who insists that the Father and Mother, together with the Son, is a family responsible for all there is. This is because she insists Shri Mataji said so and gives me some quotes.

I do agree to a certain degree Shri Mataji did suggest so, but it only concerns this present creation, this present Universe, the present religions, the present patriarchal priests, rabbis, mullahs, pandits, gianis, and monks, and their present followers.

THE MOTHER who has come in the form of the Comforter is beyond the limitations set by the religious ignorant and fundamentalist blinkered. It was thus necessary for me to re-do the post in its present form to bring clarity.

Having said that, I reiterate that I am not interested in the patriarchal pursuits of centuries-old dogma, agenda and indoctrination, including their women looking for a Father-figure to daily worship and supplicate to.

I hope the above quote will put to an end any doubts or *avidya* for the few here. That Jesus is with THE MOTHER is all we need to know. We will only know if we have ears that hear.

jagbir

May 31, 2021

Hi All,

Intro to: The Mind and Manifestation - (The Rise of the Inaccessible One) – Part 2 – (30/5/21)

The early Church Fathers did not know that the Holy Spirit was God the Mother.

Jesus knew God the Mother. He spoke of her in couched terminology. It was too dangerous to do otherwise, back then.

He called her "the Comforter".

Jesus performed the task of setting up his disciples with the expectation that the Comforter would come in the Age that was to follow.

The promised Comforter has arrived.

Shri Mataji, having been born into a Christian family, clarified the early Church Father's conundrums with a Christian kind of understanding.

She said that we have:

"God the Father", "God the Mother" and "God the Son".

Shri Mataji further asked the question: "How can we have a father and a son, but no mother?"

We can't.

To put it in today's terms, no Divine Feminine was envisaged at that time, though Jesus openly said before his crucifixion:

"Then saith he [Jesus] to the disciple (John), "Behold thy Mother!" (John 19:27 KJV)

They say that Jesus was making arrangements for his mother to be comfortable after his death by passing his mother into the care of John (and vice versa) which would have been a loving, sonly gesture.

However, as Shri Mataji has pointed out, there was much more significance to "Behold the Mother".

Just before his crucifixion, Jesus felt compelled to point out "the Comforter Personality to come" – namely, his blessed mother, Mary.

That's why Jesus said: "Behold the Mother!".

Shri Mataji (lit. Respected Mother) did come back as the Comforter and confirmed that she had been Jesus' mother, Mary.

Young children have given evidence to confirm Shri Mataji's incarnation.

When the Godhead is understood as a relationship of God the Father, God the Mother and God the Son, all conundrums of three-in-one cease.

It's like a loving family relationship, where the members are in a state of oneness (not separate from each other) yet distinct from each other. There is no superiority or inferiority, though the roles of father, mother, son or daughter, differ.

Jesus was a Son, but we all are sons and daughters of God the Father and God the Mother too.

Such an understanding is far simpler than reaching a compromise that pleases nobody, like happened at the Council of Nicaea in 325 AD, due to pressure from the emperor:

"When they did resolve the matter finally, it was a compromise that was to the satisfaction of nobody, for they still couldn't agree. It was only because of their apprehension about the power of the Emperor Constantine that they produced some semblance of unanimity at the Council of Nicaea in 325 AD."

After all the conundrums and compromise there was no psychological space left to imitate Jesus. Instead, Christendom became steeped in actions of repentance and suffering, which was opposite the message of Jesus:

"If we make mistakes, the message of Jesus had been that we should learn from the experiences, change, and then move on wiser and empowered. There was no room in his system for wallowing in guilt, shame and repentance. It was designed to be all about learning."

Love to All,

Violet

Mind and Manifestation – (The Rise of the Inaccessible One) – Part 2 – (May 30, 2021)

(p.74) In those first four centuries after Jesus had finished his mission, the early Fathers of the Church endlessly debated conundrums about how Jesus related to God the Father and how he related to the Holy Spirit. They also debated how all three (the Father, the Son and the Holy Spirit) are equal and yet distinct from each other ... but were still not separate. They basically took on the rather doomed task of trying to prove that three equals one.

How can you have something that is distinct from another without being separate from it? It makes no sense. Not even when you allege that the difficulties we have in understanding how these realities can exist is because they belong in a realm beyond our capacity to understand.

It was also alleged that there can't be any superiority or inferiority in these three categories of being – the Father, the Son and the Holy Spirit. On good days, we know that two and two make

four, but we certainly know that three is not equal to one no matter in what transcendent language the statement is cloaked. We are in trouble when we do that. But that's essentially what the views of the early Fathers of the Church amounted to.

When they did resolve the matter finally, it was a compromise that was to the satisfaction of nobody, for they still couldn't agree. It was only because of their apprehension about the power of the Emperor Constantine that they produced some semblance of unanimity at the Council of Nicaea in 325 AD. At that point, the centuries-old process of redefining Jesus ground to some sort of a merciful pause. But by that stage any hope of ever truly imitating Jesus, as he wished, was doomed. And the path of following Jesus was dominated by the urge to see how much we could repent and suffer to expiate our sins.

If we make mistakes, the message of Jesus had been that we should learn from the experiences, change, and then move on wiser and empowered. There was no room in his system for wallowing in guilt, shame and repentance. It was designed to be all about learning. When we focus on guilt and fear we re-create the monsters of our past who will return and devour us all over again. (p.75) When we learn and move on wiser we have removed the magnetism that draws our monsters back to us again. Unfortunately, the Church's system of sin, guilt, fear and repentance, while no doubt well-intended, was a serious misunderstanding of Jesus' message that has caused catastrophic consequences down through Christian history so that the heart of his message was lost. Eventually, to even ponder becoming what Jesus was, began to smack of irreverence or even blasphemy.

Saving Jesus, by Miceal Ledwith, 2017, Edessa Code, LLC, ISBN # 978-0-692-97305-9, USA.

Scroll One – The Mind and Manifestation, Chapter 3, The Labyrinth of the Mind, (p.74-75)